	ROUTING AND	RECOR	D SHEET]
SUBJECT: (Optional) Draft Stud	dy - Archited	t-Engi	neer Selection Procedures	
Chief, Procurement 3F-03	Division, OL	EXTENSION	OL 1 5391a DATE 15 JAN 1982	STAT
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE RECEIVED FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
1. D/L 2.	19 fan	Ó		STAT
Building Planning Sta 4E50 Hqs 3.	aff /		w (b) lent vot make sinse.	
4.			make sense	STAT
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
11.				.
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

Audicirative - Internal Use Only

15 JAN 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR:

STAT

STAT

Building Planning Staff, OL

THROUGH:

Director of Logistics

FROM:

SUBJECT:

Chief, Procurement Division, OL

Draft Study - Architect-Engineer Selection Procedures

REFERENCE:

Memo for D/L from C/BPS/OL dated


31 December 1981, Subject same

(OL 1 5391)

Paul:

- 1. We have reviewed the referent as you requested and have the following comments:
 - a. Will the Procurement Division be responsible for the issuance of the solicitation and source selection process? If so, we believe that a representative from the Procurement Division should be on the Evaluation Board.
 - b. We do not concur with the recommendation that the Commerce Business Daily be used to publicize the proposed procurement. We have stated in several memoranda to OMB, OFPP, and the Congress that this procedure is contrary to Agency policy. While we recognize the importance of the project in terms of size, cost, and publicity, we do not believe that these factors warrant changing or deviating from a policy which has never been challenged in the past. What we need to do is solicit a reasonable number of A&E firms to submit qualification data to ensure that we obtain competition to the maximum practicable extent within the framework of our normal procurement procedures.

OL 1 5391a



Administrative - Internal Use City

c. With regard to the selection criteria, the Defense Acquisition Regulation (DAR) precludes giving potential offerors the actual weights to be used in the evaluation process whereas it is optional under the Federal Procurement Regulations (FPR). We follow the DAR and recommend that the criteria and sub-criteria be placed in decending order of importance in the solicitation.

	2.	Please	let	us	know	if	we	can	be	of	further
assi	stan	ce.									

I .	

STAT

Real Real Real Real Real Real Real Real	OUTING	AND	RECORI	SHEET	
UBJECT: (Optional) Draft St	udy - A	rchitect	t-Engine	er Selection Procedures	
ROM:			EXTENSION	NO.	 ST/
Building Planning Staf 4E50 Hqs		ff, OL		DATE 4 JAN 1982	ST.
O: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DA	ATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		S	┨ STA
1. C/PD/OL		•			I ST.
2.				has been sent to the Director of Logistics for his review	
3.			350	and comment. BPS would appreciate your review also.	
4. 2. 3.200	\$ 200	**************************************	Sec. Sec.	Please return with your comments by 15 January 1982.	
5.			4.4		ST.
6. OL/BPS 4E50 HQS		10.0	Dominion of	Att Branch Branc	
7.	1.11	i North Roll	1 m 1 m	The first boy our sales and her	
8					
9.					
10.	***	<u> </u>		The state of the s	
the stage of the second of		2.45.1		OT 1 5301	
11. A Company of the contract	28.97				
12.				- Charles to Lought V.	
13.					
14.			J. Company	The state of the s	
15.	A				

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS